|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **GENERAL INFORMATION** | |
| 1. | **\*U-REACH title**  Indicate the name of your U-REACH project. The word 'U-REACH' should be included. |
|  |  |
| 2. | **\*Anticipated completion date**  Give the date by which the review is expected to be completed |
|  |  |
| 3. | **\*Stage of review at time of this submission**  Indicate the stage of progress of the review by ticking the relevant Started and Completed boxes. Additional information may be added in the free text box provided. |
|  |  |
| 4. | **\*Named contact**  The named contact acts as the guarantor for the accuracy of the information presented in the register record. |
|  |  |
| 5. | **\*Named contact email**  Give the electronic mail address of the named contact. |
|  |  |
| 6. | **\*Main affiliation of the named contact**  Full title of the organisational affiliations for this review and website address if available. This field may be completed as 'None' if the review is not affiliated to any organisation |
|  |  |
| 7. | **\*Review team members and their organisational affiliations.**  Give the title, first name, last name and the organisational affiliations of each member of the review team. Affiliation refers to groups or organisations to which review team members belong |
|  |  |
| 8. | **\*Funding sources/sponsors**  Give details of the individuals, organizations, groups or other legal entities who take responsibility for initiating, managing, sponsoring and/or financing the review. Include any unique identification numbers assigned to the review by the individuals or bodies listed. |
|  |  |
| 9. | **\*Conflicts of interest**  List any conditions that could lead to actual or perceived undue influence on judgements concerning the main topic investigated in the review. |
|  |  |
| 10. | **\*Review question**  State the question(s) to be addressed by the review, clearly and precisely. Review questions may be specific or broad. It may be appropriate to break very broad questions down into a series of related more specific questions. Questions may be framed or refined using PI(E)COS where relevant. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **UMBRELLA REVIEW: identification of SR/MA** | |
| 11. | **\*Databases**  State the sources that will be searched. Give the search dates, and any restrictions (e.g. language or publication period). Do NOT enter the full search strategy (it may be provided as a link or attachment.) |
|  |  |
| 12. | **\*Types of reviews to be included**  Give details of the types of evidence synthesis (systematic review, pairwise meta-analysis, network meta-analysis) eligible for inclusion in the review. |
|  |  |
| 13. | **\*Strategy for overlapping reviews**  State the selection procedure that will be used when several reviews meeting your inclusion criteria are available. |
|  |  |
| 14. | **\*Participants/population**  Give summary criteria for the participants or populations being studied by the review. The preferred format includes details of both inclusion and exclusion criteria. |
|  |  |
| 15. | **\*Intervention(s), exposure(s)** Give full and clear descriptions or definitions of the nature of the interventions or the exposures to be reviewed. |
|  |  |
| 16. | **\*Comparator(s)/control**  Where relevant, give details of the alternatives against which the main subject/topic of the review will be compared (e.g. another intervention or a non-exposed control group). The preferred format includes details of both inclusion and exclusion criteria. |
| 17. | **\*Main outcome(s)** Give the pre-specified main (most important) outcomes of the review, including details of how the outcome is defined and measured and when these measurement are made, if these are part of the review inclusion criteria. |
|  |  |
| 18. | **\*Additional outcome(s)** List the pre-specified additional outcomes of the review, with a similar level of detail to that required for main outcomes. Where there are no additional outcomes please state ‘None’ or ‘Not applicable’ as appropriate to the review |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **EVALUATION of quality of SR/NMA** | |
| 19. | **\*Assessment of the methodological quality of SR/NMA**  Describe the method of assessing the quality of included SR/NMA. |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Analysis and assessment of SR/NMA results** | |
| 20. | **\*Analysis of SR/NMA results**  Describe how you will synthesize the results of included SR/NMA. Importantly, you must be clear on whether you will extract the results from the reports, or if you plan to conduct some calculations. Indicate the software you plan to use for data analysis. |
|  |  |
| 21. | **\*Assessment of the credibility of the evidence**  State any planned investigation of ‘subgroups’. Be clear and specific about which type of study or participant will be included in each group or covariate investigated. State the planned analytic approach |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Communication Hub** | |
| 22. | **\*U-REACH platform**  Give details of the platform you plan to build to share the results of your work with the community |
|  |  |
| 23. | **\*Open science**  Indicate how you plan to share (i) the source code of your U-REACH platform, (ii) the dataset containing the results of the umbrella review and (iii) the publication describing the platform |
|  |  |
| 24. | **\*Co-designing the platform**  Describe which stakeholders you will include to co-design the platform; you should also give details on how you will reach a conscensus (e.g., delphi process, use of a validated questionnaire such as DISCERN, etc.). |
|  |  |
| 25. | **\*Stakeholder-specific interface**  For each type of stakeholder you are designing this U-REACH project for, give details of the type of information you will be making available to them. |
|  |  |